## Formal Verification and Specification Lab Session (TP) 03

### 1. CTL formulas:

- Always, if a train passes, the gate is closed
- Despite the current situation, I will eventually do a in the future.
- It exists currently a choice, just after it I could eventually choose b
- For all executions, every event p will be eventually followed by q.
- For all executions, every event p will be eventually followed by q in an exact future.
- Every q implies that p would be true, where p must not be preceded by r
- I do not enter only if the crossing is free, and I will close the door once I'm not in the crossing.
- If two processes are waiting infinitely often, they would be served the one after the other.

#### 2. CTL equivalence:

Express the equivalences for the following CTL formulae:

- $AX\varphi$
- $AF\varphi$ .
- $EF\varphi$
- $AG\varphi$
- $AG\phi 1U\phi 2$
- $EG\varphi$

# Answers

## 1. CTL formulas:

- Always, if a train passes, the gate is closed
- Despite the current situation, I will eventually do *a* in the future.
- It exists currently a choice, just after it I could eventually choose b
- For all executions, every event p will be eventually followed by q.
- For all executions, every event p will be eventually followed by q in an exact future.
- Every q implies that p would be true, where p must not be preceded by r
- I do not enter only if the crossing is free, and I will close the door once I'm not in the crossing.
- If two processes are waiting infinitely often, they would be served the one after the other.

### **Answer:** • $AGpasse \implies gate\_closed$

- $\bullet$  AXEFa
- $\bullet$  EXEFb
- $AG(p \implies AFq)$
- $AG(p \implies AXAFq)$
- $AG(q \implies A(\neg rUp))$
- $(\neg EF(enter \land \neg free)) \land (AG(enter \implies AF(\neg stay \land closed)))$
- $A[GFatt1 \land GFatt2 \implies \neg F((s1 \land (\neg s2)Us1 \land s2) \lor ((\neg s1)Us2))]$

## 2. CTL equivalence:

Express the equivalences for the following CTL formulae:

- $AX\varphi$
- $AF\varphi$ .
- $EF\varphi$
- $AG\varphi$
- $AG\phi 1U\phi 2$
- $EG\varphi$

**Answer:** •  $AX\varphi \equiv \neg EX \neg \varphi$ 

- $AF\varphi \equiv \neg EG\neg \varphi$ .
- $EF\varphi \equiv EtrueU\varphi$
- $AG\varphi \equiv \neg EF \neg \varphi$
- $AG\phi 1U\phi 2 \equiv \neg ((E(\phi 1 \land \neg \phi 2)U(\neg \phi 1 \land \neg \phi 2)) \lor (EG \neg \phi 2))$
- $EG\varphi \equiv \neg AF \neg \varphi$