1 Chapter 1: Diagonalization of matrices

1.1 Definitions

Let E be an n-dimensional space vector over a field K, where $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . dimE = n, B a basis of E. Let $f : E \longrightarrow E$ a linear application (endomorphism of E), A the square matrix $(n \times n)$ associated with $f : A = \mathbb{M}_B(f) = (a_{ij})$.

1.1.1 Definition 1. Characteristic Polynomial of a Matrix

If A is an $n \times n$ matrix, the **characteristic polynomial** $P(\lambda)$ of A is defined by:

$$P(\lambda) = det(A - \lambda I_n)$$

1.1.2 Definition 2. Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

If A is $n \times n$ matrix, a number λ is called an eigenvalue of A if there is $V \in E$ such that:

$$AV = \lambda V$$

In this case, V is called an eigenvector of A corresponding to the eigenvalue λ .

Example. If $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $V = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ then $AV = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = 4 \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 4V$ So $\lambda = 4$ is an eigenvalue of A with corresponding eigenvector V.

Theorem. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix.

1. The eigenvalues λ of A are the roots of the characteristic polynomial $P(\lambda)$ of A.

$$P(\lambda) = 0$$

2. The λ - eigenvectors X are the nonzero solutions to the homogeneous system

$$(A - \lambda I)X = 0$$

1.1.3 Definition 3.

Let A be $n \times n$ matrix and λ an eigenvalue of the matrix A. The set

$$E(\lambda) = \{ V \in E, AV = \lambda V \}$$

is called the **eigenspace** of A associated to the eigenvalue λ in which $E(\lambda)$ is vector sub-space of E. Its dimension $(dim E(\lambda))$ is called the the geometric multiplicity of λ .

1.1.4 Definition 4. Similarity and Diagonalization

If A, B are two $n \times n$ matrices, then they are **similar** if and only if there exists an invertible matrix P such that:

$$A = P^{-1}BP$$

1.1.5 Definition 5. Trace of a matrix

If $A = (a_{ij})$ is an $n \times n$ matrix, then the trace of A is

$$trace(A) = tr(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij}$$

Lemma. Properties of a trace For $n \times n$ matrices A and B, and any $k \in \mathbb{R}$,

1.
$$tr(A+B) = tr(A) + tr(B)$$

- 2. tr(kA) = k.tr(A)
- 3. tr(AB) = tr(BA)

Theorem. Properties of similar matrices If A and B are $n \times n$ matrices and A, B are similar, then

- 1. det(A) = det(B)
- 2. rank(A) = rank(B)

3.
$$tr(A) = tr(B)$$

4. $P_A(\lambda) = P_B(\lambda)$

5. A and B have the same eigenvalues.

Proof. **1.** We have $B = P^{-1}AP$, then $det(B) = det(P^{-1}AP) = det(A)$

4. $P_B(\lambda) = det(B - \lambda I_n) = det(P^{-1}AP - P^{-1}\lambda P) = det[P^{-1}(A - \lambda I_n)P] = det(P^{-1}) \times det(A - \lambda I_n) \times det(P)$

1.1.6 Definition 6. Digonalizable

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then A is said to be **diagonalizable** if there exists an invetible matrix P such that

$$P^{-1}AP = D$$

where D is a diagonal matrix.

Proposition. Let λ_1 and λ_2 be two distinct eigenvalues $(\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2)$ of A, then

$$E(\lambda_1) \cap E(\lambda_2) = \{0\}$$

Proof. If $V \in E(\lambda_1) \cap E(\lambda_2)$, then $AV = \lambda_1 V = \lambda_2 V$ i.e. $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)V = 0$. Since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, then we have V = 0

1.1.7 Definition 7. Diagonalization

A square $n \times n$ matrix A is **diagonalizable** if A is similar to a diagonal matrix, i.e.

$$A = PDP^{-1}$$

for a diagonal matrix D and an invertible matrix P.

Proposition. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. We suppose that $P(\lambda)$ have k distinct roots $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_k$. If $E = E(\lambda_1) \oplus E(\lambda_2) \oplus ... \oplus E(\lambda_k)$, then A is diagonalizable.

Proof. For i = 1, 2, ..., k, we choose the basis B_i of $E(\lambda_i)$. The basis $B' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{i=k} B_i$ of E consists of the eigenvectors of A associated with the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_k$, then the matrix $D = \mathbb{M}_{B'}(f)$ is diagonal. \Box

Examples Find the characteristic polynomial, eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrices:

1.
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 1 & 4 & -5 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$

Solution.

1.
$$P(\lambda) = (\lambda - 4)(\lambda + 2)$$

 $\lambda_1 = -2 \text{ and } \lambda_2 = 4$
 $V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 5\\1 \end{bmatrix}$
2. $P(\lambda) = -\lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)$
 $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 4 \text{ and } \lambda_3 = 2$
 $V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}, V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } V_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$

1.2 Sufficient condition for a matrix to be diagonalizable

Proposition. An $n \times n$ matrix with n distinct eigenvalues is diagonalizable.

Proof. We have $P(\lambda) = (-1^n)(\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)...(\lambda - \lambda_n)$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$ *n* distinct eigenvalues of *A* and $V_1, V_2, ..., V_n$ the *n* eigenvectors associated with λ_i . $AV_1 = \lambda_1 V_1$ $AV_2 = \lambda_2 V_2$. . . $AV_n = \lambda_n V_n$ We can prove that $B' = (V_1, V_2, ..., V_n)$ is a basis of *E* by induction: We prove that the set $(V_1, V_2, V_3, ..., V_{k+1})$ is linearly independent of *E*.

$$\alpha_1 V_1 + \alpha_2 V_2 + \dots + \alpha_k V_k + \alpha_{k+1} V_{k+1} = 0 \tag{1}$$

We have $A(\alpha_1 V_1 + \alpha_2 V_2 + ... + \alpha_k V_k + \alpha_{k+1} V_{k+1}) = 0$, then $\alpha_1 A V_1 + \alpha_2 A V_2 + ... + \alpha_k A V_k + \alpha_{k+1} A V_{k+1} = 0$

$$\alpha_1 \lambda_1 V_1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2 V_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \lambda_k V_k + \alpha_{k+1} \lambda_{k+1} V_{k+1} \tag{2}$$

From (2) $-\lambda_{k+1}(1)$: $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{k+1})\alpha_1V_1 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_{k+1})\alpha_2V_2 + ... + (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1})\alpha_kV_k = 0$ Since the set $(V_1, V_2, ..., V_k)$ is linearly independent of E by induction hypothesis, then $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{k+1})\alpha_1 = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_{k+1})\alpha_2 = ... = (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1})\alpha_k = 0$ (because λ_k are distinct). Therefore $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = ... = \alpha_k = 0$ By (1) we have $\alpha_{k+1}V_{k+1} = 0$, then $\alpha_{k+1} = 0$

1.3 Necessary and sufficient condition for diagonalizability

Proposition 1. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, then

$$\dim(E(\lambda_1)) \le m_1$$

where λ_1 is an eigenvalue of A multiplicity m_1 .

Proof. Let $(e_1, e_2, ..., e_r)$ the basis of $E(\lambda_1)$, then we can find the basis $B = (e_1, e_2, ..., e_r, e_{r+1}, ..., e_n)$ of E.

The matrix A is similar of the matrix A' of the form

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & A_1 \\ \hline & & \lambda_1 & & \\ \hline & & & \lambda_1 & & \\ \hline & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & \ddots & & A_1 \\ \hline & & & \ddots & & \\ \hline & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & \lambda_1 - \lambda & & & & & & \\$$

Then $m \geq r$, where $r = dim E(\lambda_1)$

Proposition 2. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then A is diagonalizable if and only if:

1. $P(\lambda)$ is factored.

,

2. For each eigenvalue λ_i of A, $dim(E(\lambda_i))$ is equal to the multiplicity of λ_i i.e.

$$dim E(\lambda_i) = m_i, i = 1, ..., k$$

Proof. By induction, the sub-spaces $E(\lambda_i)$, i = 1, ..., j, verify

$$E = E(\lambda_1) \oplus E(\lambda_2) \oplus \dots \oplus E(\lambda_k)$$

for j = 1, ..., kDenote $S_j = E(\lambda_1) \oplus E(\lambda_2) \oplus ... \oplus E(\lambda_j)$ It is sufficient to demonstrate that $S_j \cap E(\lambda_{j+1}) = \{0\}$ Let $V \in S_j \cap E(\lambda_{j+1})$, then

$$\begin{cases}
V = V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_j \\
\text{and} \\
AV = \lambda_{j+1}V
\end{cases}$$
(3)

For (3), we have $AV = AV_1 + AV_2 + \dots + AV_i$, then

$$\lambda_{j+1}V = \lambda_1 V_1 + \lambda_2 V_2 + \dots + \lambda_j V_j \tag{4}$$

For $(4) - \lambda_{j+1}(3)$, we have

$$0 = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_{j+1})V_1 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_{j+1})V_2 + \dots + (\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1})V_j$$

Using induction hypothesis, we get $V_1 = V_2 = ... = V_j = 0$ Since $\sum_{i=1}^n dim E(\lambda_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i = n$, we see that $E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k E(\lambda_i)$. Then A is diagonalizable and we write:



Examples.

1.
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$P(\lambda) = -\lambda(\lambda - 1)^{2}$$
$$P(\lambda) = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_{1} = 0, m_{1} = 1 \\ \lambda_{2} = 1, m_{2} = 2 \end{cases}$$
$$E(\lambda_{1}) = E(0) = \langle V_{1} \rangle, \text{ where } V_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } dimE(\lambda_{1}) = 1 = m_{1}$$
$$E(\lambda_{2}) = E(1) = \langle V_{2}, V_{3} \rangle, \text{ where } V_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, V_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } dimE(\lambda_{2}) = 1 = m_{2} = 2.$$

Then the matrix A is diagonalizable.

2.
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 2 & 5 & -7 \\ 1 & 3 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$P(\lambda) = -\lambda(\lambda - 1)^{2}$$
$$P(\lambda) = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_{1} = 0, m_{1} = 1 \\ \lambda_{2} = 1, m_{2} = 2 \end{cases}$$
$$E(\lambda_{1}) = E(0) = \langle V_{1} \rangle, \text{ where } V_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } dimE(\lambda_{1}) = 1 = m_{1}$$
$$E(\lambda_{2}) = E(1) = \langle V_{2} \rangle, \text{ where } V_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } dimE(\lambda_{2}) = 1 \neq m_{2} = 2$$
Then the matrix A isn't diagonalizable.

2 Chapter 2: Triangulability of matrices

Example 1. Consider the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 2 & 5 & -7 \\ 1 & 3 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$, then

$$P(\lambda) = -\lambda(\lambda - 1)^{2}$$

$$P(\lambda) = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_{1} = 0, m_{1} = 1\\ \lambda_{2} = 1, m_{2} = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$E(\lambda_{1}) = E(0) = \langle V_{1} \rangle, \text{ where } V_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } dimE(\lambda_{1}) = 1 = m_{1}$$

$$E(\lambda_{2}) = E(1) = \langle V_{2} \rangle, \text{ where } V_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 3\\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } dimE(\lambda_{2}) = 1 \neq m_{2} = 2$$
Then the matrix A isn't diagonalizable.

What to do if matrix A is not diagonalizable? Therefore, we use triangulation:

2.1 Proposition

Let $f : E \to F$ a linear map and A the matrix of f, we suppose the characteristic polynomial $P(\lambda)$ of f (or A) is factored in $K[\lambda]$. Then f (or A) is triangulable.

Proof. By induction over dimE: the result is true for the space of dimension 1. Suppose they are true for spaces of dimension $\leq n-1$ and let E be a space of dimension n.

Let $P(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)...(\lambda - \lambda_n)$ in $K[\lambda]$, $(K = \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C})$. We suppose that the eigenvalues λ_i are not necessarily distinct. We denote V_1 , an eigenvector associated with λ_1 (i.e. $f(V_1) = \lambda_1 V_1$).

By the incomplete basis theorem, there exists a basis B' of E where

 $B' = (V_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n)$ then the matrix A' has the form

$$A' = M_{B'}(f) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & & \dots \\ 0 & \ddots & & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \ddots \\ 0 & a_{n2} & \dots & \vdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

The family $B_1 = (e_2, ..., e_n)$ is a basis of the subspace $F = \langle e_2, ..., e_n \rangle$ of E. We denote $g: F \to F$, the linear map such that the associated matrix is $\begin{bmatrix} a_{12} & ... & a_{1n} \end{bmatrix}$

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = M_{B_{1}}(g)$$

Then $\overline{P}(\lambda) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \times det(A_1 - \lambda I_{n-1})$

i.e. $P(\lambda)$ is factored and since dimF = n - 1, by induction hypothesis, there exists a basis $B_2 = (V_2, ..., V_n)$ of F such that $M_{B_2}(g)$ is upper triangular. We get

Remark.

1/ If A is triangulable, the diagonal of the matrix $T = M_{B'}(f)$ are the eigenvalues of A.

2/ All matrix of $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is triangulable.

Corollary.

 $\begin{array}{l} tr(A) = \sum_{i}^{\circ} \lambda_{i} \\ det(A) = \prod_{i} \lambda_{i} \end{array}$

Remark.

We can triangulate the matrix A of Example 1.

We consider the basis B' of E where
$$\begin{cases} V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} = e_1 + e_2 + e_3\\ V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\2\\2 \end{bmatrix} = e_1 + 3e_2 + 2e_3\\ V_3 = e_1 \end{cases}$$
Because
$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1\\1 & 3 & 0\\1 & 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 2 - 3 = -1 \neq 0$$

And
$$\begin{cases} e_1 = V_3 \\ e_2 = -2V_1 + V_2 + V_3 \\ e_3 = 3V_1 - V_2 - 2V_3 \end{cases}$$

Then $T = M_{B'}(f) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = P^{-1}AP$
Where
$$\begin{cases} f(V_1) = \lambda_1 V_1 = 0 \\ f(V_2) = \lambda_2 V_2 = V_2 \\ f(V_3) = f(e_1) = e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3 = -V_1 + V_2 + V_3 \end{cases}$$

Finally, $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is the upper triangular matrix,
 $P = (V_1 V_2 V_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $P^{-1}(e_1 e_2 e_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$

2.2 Annihilating polynomials

Let E a vector space over K and $R \in K[\lambda]$ $R(\lambda) = a_n \lambda^n + a_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1} + \ldots + a_2 \lambda_2 + a_1 \lambda^1 + a_0 \lambda^0$ If $f \in End_K(E)$, we denote R(f), the linear map of E defined by $R(f) = a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \ldots + a_2 f^2 + a_1 f^1 + a_0 id$ or R(A) the matrix $R(A) = a_2 A^n + a_{n-1} A^{n-1} + \ldots + a_2 A^2 + a_1 A^1 + a_0 I_n$ Where $f^k = \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \ldots \circ f}_{\text{k times}}$

Remark.

We have $P(f) \circ Q(f) = Q(f) \circ P(f)$.

2.2.1 Definition.

Let $f \in End_K(E)$, the polynomial $R \in K[\lambda]$ is called annihilating polynomial of f if R(f) = 0 (or R(A) = 0).

2.3 Cayley-Hamilton theorem

Let $f \in End_k(E)$ and $P(\lambda)$ the characteristic polynomial of f (or A). Then

$$P(f) = 0$$

(or P(A) = 0). i.e $P(\lambda)$ annihilates f (or A).

Proof. We suppose $K = \mathbb{C}$, in this case f (or A) is triangulable. Let $B' = (V_1, V_2, ..., V_n)$, a basis of E such that

Example.

 $\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & -1 \\ -6 & -1 & 2 \\ 6 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ P(\lambda) &= det(A - \lambda I_3) = (2 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda)^2 = -\lambda^3 + 4\lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 2 \\ \text{Since } det(A) &= P(0) = 2 \neq 0, A \text{ is invertible.} \\ \text{By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, we have } P(A) &= 0 \\ \text{i.e } -A^3 + 4A^2 - 5A + 2I_3 = 0. \text{ Then } -A^3 + 4A^2 - 5A = -2I_3 \Rightarrow \\ A[-A^2 + 4A - 5I_3] &= -2I_3 \Rightarrow A[\frac{1}{2}A^2 - 2A + \frac{5}{2}I_3] = I_3 \\ \text{Therefore,} \end{aligned}$

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}A^2 - 2A + \frac{5}{2}I_3$$