

Triangular norms and triangular conorms

Triangular norms are essential tools for interpreting conjunctions and disjunctions in fuzzy logic. Subsequently, they play a crucial role in the intersection of fuzzy sets. However, they are also interesting mathematical objects in their own right. Triangular norms, as we use them today, also play a significant role in decision-making.

In this overview, we explore some algebraic, analytical, and logical aspects of triangular norms.

4.1 Triangular norms

4.1.1 Basic definitions and properties

Definition 1 A triangular norm (t-norm for short) is a binary operation T on the unit interval $[0, 1]$, i.e., it is a function $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$: the following four axioms are satisfied:

- (T1) $T(x, y) = T(y, x)$ (commutativity)
- (T2) $T(x, T(y, z)) = T(T(x, y), z)$. (associativity)
- (T3) $T(x, y) \leq T(x, z)$ whenever $y \leq z$ (monotonicity)
- (T4) $T(x, 1) = x$. (boundary condition)

Example

The following are the four basic t-norms T_M, T_P, T_L , and T_D given by, respectively:

$T_M(x, y) = \min(x, y)$	(Minimum)
$T_P(x, y) = x \cdot y$	(Product)
$T_L(x, y) = \max(x + y - 1, 0)$	(Lukasiewicz t-norm)
$T_D(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 \\ \min(x, y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	(Drastic product)

Example

$T(x, y) = \frac{xy}{(2-x-y+xy)}$	Einstein
$T(x, y) = \frac{xy}{(x+y-xy)}$	Hamacher
$T(x, y) = \frac{xy}{\max(x, y, \alpha)}$	Dubois and Parade (1986) $\alpha \in [0, 1]$

Proposition 1 Any t-conorm T satisfies $T(0, x) = T(x, 0) = 0$, for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. We know that $T(x, 0) \in [0, 1]$, so $T(x, 0) \geq 0$, and we use the axiom (S3)(monotonicity), we obtain $T(x, 0) \leq T(1, 0) = 0$.

Proposition 2 Let A be a set with $]0, 1[\subseteq A \subseteq [0, 1]$, and assume that $F : A^2 \rightarrow A$ is a binary operation on A such that for all $x, y, z \in A$ the properties (T1) - (T3) and $F(x, y) \leq \min(x, y)$

are satisfied. Then the function $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} F(x, y) & \text{if } (x, y) \in (A \setminus \{1\})^2, \\ \min(x, y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is a t-norm.

Proof The commutativity (T1) and the boundary condition (T4) are satisfied by definition. Concerning the associativity (T2), observe that for $x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0, 1\}$ we have $T(T(x, y), z) = T(x, T(y, z))$ as a consequence of the associativity of F . If $0 \in \{x, y, z\}$ then we get $T(x, T(y, z)) = 0 = T(T(x, y), z)$, and if $1 \in \{x, y, z\}$ then $T(T(x, y), z) = T(x, T(y, z))$ follows from (T4). Concerning the monotonicity (T3), suppose $y \leq z$. In the cases $x, y, z \in A \setminus \{1\}$ or $x \in \{0, 1\}$ or $y = 0$, the inequality

$T(x, y) \leq T(x, z)$ is inherited from the monotonicity of F and \min . The only non-trivial case is when $x, y \in A \setminus \{1\}$ and $z = 1$, in which case $T(x, y) \leq T(x, z)$ follows from (*).

Definition 2 A function $f : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which satisfies, for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$, the properties (T1)- (T3) and $f(x, y) \leq \min(x, y)$ is called a t-subnorm.

Example

$$1- f(x, y) = 0.$$

$$2- f(x, y) = \frac{x \cdot y}{3}.$$

$$3- f(x, y) = x \cdot y.$$

Remark Clearly, each t-norm is a t-subnorm, but not vice versa: for example, the function $f : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by $f(x, y) = 0$, is a t-subnorm but not a t-norm because (T4) not satisfies ($f(x, 1) = 0 \neq x$).

Corollary If f is a t-subnorm then the function $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} f(x, y) & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2, \\ \min(x, y) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is a triangular norm.

4.1.1.1 Comparison of t-norms

Definition 3

- (i) If, for two t-norms T_1 and T_2 , the inequality $T_1(x, y) \leq T_2(x, y)$ holds for all $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$, then we say that T_1 is weaker than T_2 or, equivalently, that T_2 is stronger than T_1 , and we write in this case $T_1 \leq T_2$.
- (ii) We shall write $T_1 < T_2$ whenever $T_1 \leq T_2$ and $T_1 \neq T_2$, i.e., if $T_1 \leq T_2$ and for some $(x_0, y_0) \in [0, 1]^2$ we have $T_1(x_0, y_0) < T_2(x_0, y_0)$

Lemma

- (i) The minimum T_M is the strongest t-norm ($T_M \geq T$).

(ii) The drastic product T_D is the weakest t-norm ($T_D \leq T$).

Proof

(i) For each t-norm T and for each $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ we have both $T(x, y) \leq T(x, 1) = x$ and $T(x, y) \leq T(1, y) = y$, so $T(x, y) \leq \min(x, y) = T_M(x, y)$.

(ii) All t-norms coincide on the boundary of $[0, 1]^2$ and for all $(x, y) \in]0, 1[^2$ we trivially have $T(x, y) \geq 0 = T_D(x, y)$.

Example

$$- T_0(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2, \\ \min(x, y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (\text{Drastic product of weber}).$$

$$- T_1(x, y) = \max(x + y - 1, 0) \quad (\text{Eukasiewicz}).$$

$$- T_{1.5}(x, y) = \frac{xy}{2-x-y+xy} \quad (\text{Einstein}).$$

$$- T_2(x, y) = xy \quad (\text{Algebraic or probaliste}).$$

$$- T_{2.5}(x, y) = \frac{xy}{x+y-xy} \quad (\text{Hamacher}).$$

$$- T_3(x, y) = \min(x, y) \quad (\text{Zadeh}).$$

We have: $T_0 \leq T_1 \leq T_{1.5} \leq T_2 \leq T_{2.5} \leq T_3$.

Definition 4 (Domination of t-norm) Let T_1 and T_2 be two t-norms. Then we say that T_1 dominates T_2 (in symbols $T_1 \gg T_2$) if for all $x, y, u, v \in [0, 1]$

$$T_1(T_2(x, y), T_2(u, v)) \geq T_2(T_1(x, u), T_1(y, v))$$

Lemma

(i) For each t-norm T we have $T_M \gg T$ and $T \gg T_D$.

(ii) If for two t-norms T_1 and T_2 we have T_1 dominates T_2 ($T_1 \gg T_2$) then, T_1 is stronger than T_2 ($T_1 \geq T_2$).

(iii) The relation \gg on the set of all t-norms is reflexive and antisymmetric.

Proof

- (i) Trivially, (par separation des cas)
- (ii) If for two t-norms T_1 and T_2 we have $T_1 \gg T_2$ then, putting $y = u = 1$ in (Equ 1), we immediately see that also $T_1 \geq T_2$ holds.
- (iii) from the commutativity (T_1) and the associativity (T_2) we obtain for each t-norm T and all $x, y, u, v \in [0, 1]$ the equality $T(T(x, y), T(u, v)) = T(T(x, u), T(y, v))$,
 $(T(T(x, y), T(u, v)) = T(x, T(y, T(u, v))) = T(x, T(T(y, u), v)) = T(x, T(T(u, y), v)) =$
 $T(x, T(u, T(y, v))) = T(T(x, u), T(y, v))$). i.e., $T \gg T$, and the assumptions
 $T_1 \gg T_2$ and $T_2 \gg T_1$ imply, as a consequence of (ii), $T_1 = T_2$

Remark The converse is false: $T_1 \geq T_2$ does not imply $T_1 \gg T_2$.

consider the t-norm T_P and the t norm T given by:

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{2} & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2, \\ \min(x, y) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have $T_P \geq T$ but $T_P \gg T$ is false.

let $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ if $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ hence $T_P = xy > \frac{xy}{2} = T(x, y)$

if $\max(x, y) = 1$ $T_P(x, y) = \min(x, y) = T(x, y)$.

So $\forall (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ we have $T_P(x, y) \geq T(x, y)$ i.e., $T_P \geq T$

but $T_P(T(x, y), T(u, v)) \not\geq T(T_P(x, u), T_P(y, v))$,

because if $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ and $(u, v) \in [0, 1]^2$ we get $T_P(T(x, y), T(u, v)) = \frac{xyuv}{4}$ and
 $T(T_P(x, u), T_P(y, v)) = \frac{xyuv}{2}$.

Proposition 3

- (i) The only t-norm T satisfying $T(x, x) = x$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$ is the minimum T_M .
- (ii) The only t -norm T satisfying $T(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$ is the drastic product T_D .

Proof

- (i) If for a t-norm T we have $T(x, x) = x$ for each $x \in [0, 1]$, then for all $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ with $y \leq x$ the monotonicity (T3) implies $y = T(y, y) \leq T(x, y) \leq T_M(x, y) = y$,
which, together with (T1), means $T = T_M$.
- (ii) Assume $T(x, x) = 0$ for each $x \in [0, 1[$. Then for all $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ with $y \leq x$ we have $0 \leq T(x, y) \leq T(x, x) = 0$, hence, together with (T1) and (T4), yielding $T = T_D$.

4.2 Triangular conorms

4.2.1 Basic definitions and properties

Definition 5 A triangular conorm (t-conorm for short) is a binary operation S on the unit interval $[0, 1]$, i.e., it is a function $S : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$: the following four axioms are satisfied:

- (S1) $S(x, y) = S(y, x)$ (commutativity)
(S2) $S(x, S(y, z)) = S(S(x, y), z)$ (associativity)
(S3) $S(x, y) \leq S(x, z)$ whenever $y \leq z$ (monotonicity)
(S4) $S(x, 0) = x$ (boundary condition)

Example

The following are the four basic t-norms S_M, S_P, S_L , and S_D given by, respectively:

$S_M(x, y) = \max(x, y)$	(<i>maximum</i>)
$S_P(x, y) = x + y - x \cdot y$	(<i>probabilistic sum</i>)
$S_L(x, y) = \min(x + y, 1)$	(<i>Lukasiewicz t-conorm, bounded sum</i>)
$S_D(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x, y) \in]0, 1]^2 \\ \max(x, y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	(<i>drastic sum</i>)

Example

$T(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{(1+xy)}$	Einstein
$T(x, y) = \frac{x+y-2xy}{(1-xy)}$	Hamacher
$T(x, y) = \frac{x+y+xy-\min(x,y,1-\alpha)}{\max(1-\alpha,1-y,\alpha)}$	Dubois and Parade (1986) $\alpha \in [0, 1]$

Proposition 4 Any t -conorm S satisfies $S(1, x) = S(x, 1) = 1$, for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

Proof We know that $S(x, 1) \in [0, 1]$, so $S(x, 1) \leq 1$, and we use the axiom (S3)(monotonicity), we obtient $S(x, 1) \geq S(0, 1) = 1$.

Proposition 5 A function $S : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a t -conorm if and only if there exists a t -norm T such that for all $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$

$$S(x, y) = 1 - T(1 - x, 1 - y)$$

Proof. If T is a t -norm then obviously the operation S defined by (*) satisfies (S1)- (S3) and (S4)

$$(S_1) S(x, y) = 1 - T(1 - x, 1 - y) = 1 - (1 - y, 1 - x) = S(y, x),$$

$$(S_2) S(x, S(y, z)) = 1 - T(1 - x, 1 - S(y, z)) = 1 - T(1 - x, 1 - (1 - T(1 - y, 1 - z))) = 1 - T(1 - x, T(1 - y, 1 - z)),$$

$$S(S(x, y), z) = 1 - T(1 - S(x, y), 1 - z) = 1 - T(1 - (1 - T(1 - x, 1 - y)), 1 - z) = 1 - T(T(1 - x, 1 - y), 1 - z) = 1 - T(1 - x, T(1 - y, 1 - z)),$$

$$(S_3) S(x, y) = 1 - T(1 - x, 1 - y) \leq 1 - T(1 - x, 1 - z) = S(x, z) \text{ whenever } y \leq z$$

$$(S_4) S(x, 0) = 1 - T(1 - x, 1) = 1 - (1 - x) = x,$$

and is, therefore, a t -conorm. On the other hand, if S is a t -conorm, then define the function $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$T(x, y) = 1 - S(1 - x, 1 - y)$$

Again, it is trivial to T is a t -norm and that (*) holds.

Remark

- (i) The t-conorm given by (*) is called the dual t -conorm of T and, analogously, the t-norm given by (**) is said to be the dual t-norm of S .
- (ii) The proof of (*Proposition 5*) makes it clear that also each t -norm is the dual operation of some t-conorm. Note that (T_M, S_M) , (T_P, S_P) , (T_L, S_L) , and (T_D, S_D) are pairs of t-norms and t-conorms which are mutually dual to each other.

Definition 6 Let T be a t-norm and S be a t-conorm. Then we say that T is distributive over S if for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$

$$T(x, S(y, z)) = S(T(x, y), T(x, z))$$

and that S is distributive over T if for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$

$$S(x, T(y, z)) = T(S(x, y), S(x, z))$$

Remark If T is distributive over S and S is distributive over T , then (T, S) is called a distributive pair (of t-norms and t -conorms).

Proposition 6 Let T be a t-norm and S a t-conorm. Then we have:

- (i) S is distributive over T if and only if $T = T_M$.
- (ii) T is distributive over S if and only if $S = S_M$.
- (iii) (T, S) is a distributive pair if and only if $T = T_M$ and $S = S_M$.

Proof Obviously, each t-conorm is distributive over T_M because of the monotonicity (S3) of the t-conorm.

(\subseteq) we have

$$S(x, T_M(y, z)) \leq S(x, y) \dots \dots \dots (a)$$

$$S(x, T_M(y, z)) \leq S(x, z) \dots \dots \dots (b)$$

(a) and (b) given that $S(x, T(y, z)) \leq T_M(S(x, y), S(x, z))$.

(\supseteq) Conversely, if S is distributive over T then for all $x \in [0, 1]$ we have $x = S(x, T(0, 0)) = T(S(x, 0), S(x, 0)) = T(x, x)$, and from Proposition (..) we

obtain $T = T_M$. An analogous argument proves (ii), and (iii) is just the combination of (i) and (ii).

Remark

- (i) The duality changes the order: if, for some t-norms T_1 and T_2 we have $T_1 \leq T_2$, and if S_1 and S_2 are the dual t-conorms of T_1 and T_2 , respectively, then we get $S_1 \geq S_2$. Consequently, for each t-conorm S we have

$$S_M \leq S \leq S_D$$

i.e., the maximum S_M is the weakest and the drastic sum S_D is the strongest t-conorm.

- (ii) For the t-conorms in example 18 we get this ordering:

$$S_M < S_P < S_L < S_D$$

The continuity of t-conorm S is equivalent to the continuity of the t-norm dual T .

Definition 7

A T-conorm $S : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continue if for all the sequences convergentes $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ we have :

$$S \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, y_n)$$

Example

- the t-conorms S_M, S_P, S_L are continues, and the drastic sum S_D is not continue.

4.2.2 Elementary algebraic properties

Definition 8

- (i) An element $a \in [0, 1]$ is called an idempotent element of S if $S(a, a) = a$. The numbers 0 and 1 (which are idempotent elements for each t -conorm S) are called trivial idempotent elements of S , each idempotent element in $]0, 1[$ will be called a non-trivial idempotent element of S .
- (ii) An element $a \in]0, 1[$ is called a nilpotent element of S if there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_S^{(n)} = 0$.
- (iii) An element $a \in]0, 1[$ is called a zero divisor of S if there exists some $b \in]0, 1[$ such that $S(a, b) = 0$.

Representation of Lukasiewicz trivalent algebras by fuzzy sets.

5.1 Generalities on Fuzzy Sets

Let E be a non-empty set, and $P(E)$ be the set of subsets of E . $P(E)$ equipped with the usual operations of intersection (\cap), union (\cup), and complement (C) forms a Boolean algebra.

If we denote by U the two-element set $U = \{0, 1\}$, we know that there is a correspondence (bijection) between $P(E)$ and U^E (the set of functions from E to $\{0, 1\}$) as follows:

To each subset A of E , we associate its characteristic function

$$f_A : P(E) \rightarrow U^E \text{ defined by:}$$

$$A \rightarrow f_A$$

$$f_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

To each function $\delta : E \rightarrow U$, we associate the subset $A = \delta^{-1}(1)$.

Throughout the following, we will agree to identify each subset A with its function f_A .

Thus, we can write

$$x \in A \text{ or } A(x) = 1$$

$$x \notin A \text{ or } A(x) = 0$$

Furthermore, the ordered set U (naturally ordered as $0 < 1$) is a Boolean algebra (as well as a chain) with the operations

$$\alpha \wedge \beta = \min(\alpha, \beta)$$

$$\alpha \vee \beta = \max(\alpha, \beta)$$

$$\neg \alpha = 1 - \alpha.$$

With the previous identifications, set operations can be translated as follows:

$A \cap B$ is defined by: $(A \cap B)(x) = A(x) \wedge B(x)$, for all $x \in E$.

$A \cup B$ is defined by: $(A \cup B)(x) = A(x) \vee B(x)$, for all $x \in E$.

\bar{A} is defined by: $(\bar{A})(x) = 1 - A(x)$, for all $x \in E$.

\emptyset is defined by: $\emptyset(x) = 0$, for all $x \in E$.

5.1.1 Fuzzy Structure

A fuzzy structure is defined as any pair (E, J) where:

- E is any non-empty set (whose elements will be denoted x, y, z, \dots).
- J is a closed chain (that is, with a smallest element 0 and a largest element 1, with $0 \neq 1$). The elements of J will be denoted α, β, \dots

5.1.2 Fuzzy Set

In a fuzzy structure, a fuzzy set of E is any function from E to J .

Fuzzy sets will generally be denoted $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \dots$

The set of fuzzy sets of E will be denoted $\tilde{P}(E)$.

5.1.3 Crisp Sets

If $J = U = \{0, 1\}$ then $\tilde{P}(E) = P(E)$, which is the set of subsets of E , also known as the crisp sets of E .

5.1.4 Order Relation on Fuzzy Sets

We will now define an order relation on $\tilde{P}(E)$:

$$\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B} \text{ if and only if for all } x \in E : \tilde{A}(x) \leq \tilde{B}(x).$$

This inclusion relation is obviously an order relation.

5.1.5 Union and Intersection of Fuzzy Sets

The union and intersection in $\tilde{P}(E)$ naturally extend the union and intersection in $P(E)$.

The union of two fuzzy sets \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} is defined by:

$$(\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B})(x) = \max(\tilde{A}(x), \tilde{B}(x)).$$

The intersection of two fuzzy sets \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} is defined by:

$$(\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B})(x) = \min(\tilde{A}(x), \tilde{B}(x)).$$

And we have: $\overline{\tilde{A}}(x) = 1 - \tilde{A}(x)$.

- $(\tilde{P}(E), \cup, \cap, C, \emptyset, E)$ is a Boolean algebra.

5.1.6 Fuzziness Levels

Let's define $J^0 = J - \{0\}$, $J^1 = J - \{1\}$, $J^{01} = J - \{0, 1\}$.

Let \tilde{A} be a fuzzy set in a fuzzy structure (E, J) .

For any $\alpha \in J$, we define the fuzziness level of degree α as the function $N_\alpha : \tilde{P}(E) \rightarrow P(E)$ defined by:

$$N_\alpha(\tilde{A}) = \{x \in E / \tilde{A}(x) \geq \alpha\}$$

For any $\alpha \in J^1$, we define the strict fuzziness level of degree α as the function $N'_\alpha : \tilde{P}(E) \rightarrow P(E)$ defined by:

$$N'_\alpha(\tilde{A}) = \{x \in E / \tilde{A}(x) > \alpha\}$$

$(\tilde{P}(E), \cup, \cap, C, \emptyset, E, N_{1/2}, N_1)$ is an L_3 algebra.

Properties 1

- $N_\alpha(\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}) = N_\alpha(\tilde{A}) \cup N_\alpha(\tilde{B})$.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_\alpha(\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}) &= \{x \in E / (\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B})(x) \geq \alpha\}. \\
 &= \{x \in E / \max(\tilde{A}(x), \tilde{B}(x)) \geq \alpha\}. \\
 &= \{x \in E / \tilde{A}(x) \geq \alpha \text{ or } \tilde{B}(x) \geq \alpha\}. \\
 &= \{x \in E / \tilde{A}(x) \geq \alpha\} \cup \{x \in E / \tilde{B}(x) \geq \alpha\}. \\
 &= N_\alpha(\tilde{A}) \cup N_\alpha(\tilde{B}).
 \end{aligned}$$

- $N_\alpha(\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B}) = N_\alpha(\tilde{A}) \cap N_\alpha(\tilde{B})$.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_\alpha(\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B}) &= \{x \in E / (\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B})(x) \geq \alpha\}. \\
 &= \{x \in E / \min(\tilde{A}(x), \tilde{B}(x)) \geq \alpha\}. \\
 &= \{x \in E / \tilde{A}(x) \geq \alpha \text{ and } \tilde{B}(x) \geq \alpha\}. \\
 &= \{x \in E / \tilde{A}(x) \geq \alpha\} \cap \{x \in E / \tilde{B}(x) \geq \alpha\}. \\
 N_\alpha(\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B}) &= N_\alpha(\tilde{A}) \cap N_\alpha(\tilde{B}).
 \end{aligned}$$

- $N_\alpha(\emptyset) = \emptyset$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_\alpha(\emptyset) &= \{x \in E / \emptyset(x) \geq \alpha\} \\
 &= \{x \in E / 0 \geq \alpha\} \text{ such that } \alpha \in \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right\} \\
 &= \emptyset
 \end{aligned}$$

- $N_\alpha(E) = E$.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_\alpha(E) &= \{x \in E / E(x) \geq \alpha\} \\
 N_\alpha(E) &= \{x \in E / 1 \geq \alpha\} = E
 \end{aligned}$$

- If $\alpha \leq \beta \Rightarrow N_\beta \leq N_\alpha$, i.e., $N_\beta(\tilde{A}) \subseteq N_\alpha(\tilde{A})$ for all \tilde{A} in $\tilde{P}(E)$.
- If A is a crisp set: $N_\alpha(A) = A$ for all $\alpha \in J^0$.
- For any α, β in J^0 : $N_\alpha N_\beta = N_\beta$.
- If for all $\alpha \in J^0$: $N_\alpha(\tilde{A}) = N_\alpha(\tilde{B})$ then $\tilde{A} = \tilde{B}$ (Moisil's determination principle).